# Use of Artificial Intelligence in the Administration of Criminal Justice in India and Across the World

**Vedant Chaudhary**
*LL.B., LL.M., UGC NET*
*Email: ved13chaudhary@gmail.com*

***Abstract***

*The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in the administration of criminal justice both in India and globally. The purpose of this study is to examine how AI technologies are transforming various aspects of criminal justice, including law enforcement, forensic analysis, and judicial decision-making. The methodology involves a comparative analysis of AI applications in different countries, with a special focus on the current state and challenges in India. Key findings reveal that AI enhances efficiency and effectiveness in crime prediction, investigation, and adjudication, but also raises significant legal and ethical concerns such as privacy, bias, and accountability. The implications of these findings suggest the need for robust regulatory frameworks and ethical guidelines to ensure the responsible use of AI in criminal justice.*

***Keywords***

*Artificial Intelligence, Criminal Justice, Law Enforcement, Forensic Analysis, Judicial Decision-Making, India, Ethical Concerns, Legal Frameworks, Predictive Policing.*

Reference to this paper should be made as follows:

**Received: 06.06.2024**
**Approved: 20.06.2024**

**Vedant Chaudhary,**
Use of Artificial Intelligence in the Administration of Criminal Justice in India and Across the World

*RJPSSs 2024, Vol. L, No. 1, pp.162-175*
*Article No.22*

Similarity Check: 19%

**Online available at:**
*https://anubooks.com/journal/research-journal-of-philosophy-amp-social-sciences*
**DOI:** *https://doi.org/10.31995/rjpsss.2024v50i01.22*

https://doi.org/10.31995/rjpsss.2024v50i01.22

## Introduction

### Background of AI in Criminal Justice

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized various sectors, including healthcare, finance, and transportation. In recent years, its application in criminal justice has garnered significant attention. AI technologies, such as machine learning, natural language processing, and facial recognition, have the potential to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of law enforcement, judicial processes, and crime prevention strategies (Smith 2019). These technologies can assist in predictive policing, forensic analysis, and even judicial decision-making, transforming how justice is administered (Johnson et al. 2020).

### Importance and Scope of the Study

The integration of AI in criminal justice is a double-edged sword. While it offers numerous benefits, such as improved crime detection and faster judicial processes, it also raises critical ethical and legal concerns. Issues related to privacy, bias, transparency, and accountability must be addressed to ensure that AI systems are fair and just (Rashid 2021). This study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the use of AI in criminal justice, focusing on global applications with a particular emphasis on India. By examining various case studies and legal frameworks, this research seeks to highlight both the potential and the challenges of AI in this field.

### Research Objectives and Questions

The primary objectives of this research are:

1. To analyze the current applications of AI in criminal justice systems worldwide.
2. To assess the state of AI implementation in India's criminal justice system.
3. To identify the legal and ethical challenges associated with AI in criminal justice.
4. To provide recommendations for policymakers and practitioners to enhance the responsible use of AI.

**Key Research Questions Include**

- How are AI technologies currently being used in criminal justice systems globally?
- What are the specific applications and challenges of AI in India's criminal justice system?
- What legal and ethical issues arise from the use of AI in criminal justice?
- How can these challenges be mitigated to ensure the fair and just use of AI?

## Methodology

This research employs a comparative analysis methodology, examining the use of AI in criminal justice systems across various countries. The study utilizes both primary and secondary sources, including case studies, legal documents, scholarly articles, and expert interviews. A particular focus is placed on India's criminal justice system to understand the unique challenges and opportunities in this context (Patel 2020). The analysis includes a review of international regulations and standards, as well as national laws and policies, to provide a comprehensive overview of the legal and ethical landscape surrounding AI in criminal justice (Gupta and Sharma 2021).

## Chapter 1: Overview of Artificial Intelligence Definition and Key Concepts of AI

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to the simulation of human intelligence in machines designed to think and act like humans. These systems are capable of learning, reasoning, problem-solving, perception, and language understanding. AI can be categorized into two main types: narrow AI, which is designed for specific tasks, and general AI, which possesses the ability to perform any intellectual task that a human can do (Russell and Norvig 2016). Key concepts in AI include machine learning, where systems improve their performance through experience; neural networks, which mimic the human brain's interconnected neuron structure; and natural language processing, enabling machines to understand and interpret human language (Goodfellow, Bengio, and Courville 2016).

### Historical Development of AI

The concept of AI dates back to ancient history with myths of artificial beings. However, modern AI began in the 1950s with the development of early computers. Alan Turing's 1950 paper, "Computing Machinery and Intelligence," posed the question of whether machines can think, laying the groundwork for AI (Turing 1950). The term "artificial intelligence" was coined in 1956 during the Dartmouth Conference, where researchers discussed the possibilities of creating intelligent machines (McCarthy et al. 1956). The subsequent decades saw periods of both progress and setbacks, often referred to as AI winters, due to the limitations of early hardware and algorithms. Significant breakthroughs occurred in the 1990s and 2000s with advancements in machine learning, leading to the current era of AI marked by powerful algorithms and vast computational resources (Nilsson 2009).

https://doi.org/10.31995/rjpsss.2024v50i01.22

**Types of AI Technologies Used in Criminal Justice**

1. Predictive Policing: AI algorithms analyze data to predict crime hotspots and potential criminal activity, enabling law enforcement to allocate resources more effectively (Perry et al. 2013).
2. Facial Recognition: AI systems identify individuals by analyzing facial features, aiding in suspect identification and surveillance (Phillips et al. 2011).
3. Natural Language Processing (NLP): AI applications in legal contexts include analyzing legal documents, extracting relevant information, and assisting in case management (LeCun, Bengio, and Hinton 2015).
4. Machine Learning and Data Mining: These technologies are used to detect patterns and anomalies in large datasets, which can be crucial in forensic analysis and fraud detection (Hand, Mannila, and Smyth 2001).

**Benefits and Challenges of AI in General**

**Benefits**

1. **Efficiency and Accuracy**: AI systems can process and analyze large amounts of data faster and more accurately than humans, leading to more efficient workflows (Brynjolfsson and McAfee 2014).
2. **Enhanced Decision-Making**: AI provides data-driven insights that improve decision-making processes in various fields, including criminal justice (Davenport and Ronanki 2018).
3. **Automation of Repetitive Tasks**: AI can automate routine and repetitive tasks, freeing up human resources for more complex and strategic work (Autor 2015).
4. **Challenges:**

1. **Bias and Fairness**: AI systems can inherit and amplify biases present in the training data, leading to unfair and discriminatory outcomes (Barocas and Selbst 2016).
2. **Privacy Concerns**: The use of AI in surveillance and data analysis raises significant privacy issues, as sensitive personal information can be misused (Zuboff 2019).
3. **Accountability and Transparency**: It can be challenging to determine who is responsible for decisions made by AI systems, and many AI algorithms operate as "black boxes" with little transparency (Pasquale 2015).

**Chapter 2: AI in Criminal Justice: A Global Perspective AI Applications in Criminal Justice Systems Worldwide**

The integration of AI technologies into criminal justice systems worldwide has significantly enhanced the capabilities of law enforcement agencies, judicial bodies, and crime prevention strategies. Various AI applications have been adopted globally, each addressing different aspects of criminal justice.

**Predictive Policing**

Predictive policing involves the use of AI algorithms to analyze historical crime data and predict future criminal activities. These algorithms identify patterns and hotspots where crimes are likely to occur, allowing law enforcement to allocate resources more effectively and proactively prevent crime (Perry et al.2013). In the United States, cities like Los Angeles and Chicago have implemented predictive policing systems with varying degrees of success (Ferguson 2017).

**Crime Analysis and Prevention**

AI is also employed in crime analysis and prevention by processing vast amounts of data to uncover trends and correlations. This enables law enforcement agencies to develop targeted intervention strategies. For instance, AI systems can analyze social media, communication networks, and other data sources to detect gang activities, human trafficking networks, and potential terrorist threats (Chen et al. 2004).

**Facial Recognition and Surveillance**

Facial recognition technology, powered by AI, is widely used for surveillance and identification purposes. This technology can match faces captured on surveillance cameras with databases of known individuals, aiding in the identification of suspects and missing persons (Phillips et al. 2011). Countries like China have extensively implemented facial recognition systems in public spaces, while the European Union is still grappling with regulatory and ethical concerns regarding its widespread use (Harwell 2019; European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights 2019).

**AI in Judicial Decision-Making**

AI has also made its way into judicial decision-making processes. AI systems can assist judges by providing recommendations based on precedent cases, analyzing legal documents, and even predicting case outcomes. In the United States, the COMPAS algorithm is used to assess the recidivism risk of defendants during bail and sentencing decisions, although it has faced criticism for potential bias (Angwin et al. 2016). Similarly, Estonia has experimented with AI judges for small claims cases to streamline judicial efficiency (Koenig 2019).

Case Studies from Various Countries United States

The United States has been at the forefront of integrating AI into its criminal

https://doi.org/10.31995/rjpsss.2024v50i01.22

justice system. Predictive policing programs, such as PredPol, have been deployed in several cities, using historical crime data to forecast where crimes are likely to occur (Perry et al. 2013). Additionally, AI-based risk assessment tools like COMPAS are used in judicial processes to inform bail, parole, and sentencing decisions, despite ongoing debates about their fairness and transparency (Angwin et al. 2016).

**United Kingdom**

In the United Kingdom, AI applications in criminal justice include the use of facial recognition technology by police forces for identifying suspects in public spaces. The London Metropolitan Police has trialed real-time facial recognition, although it has faced public backlash and legal challenges over privacy concerns (Couchman 2019). The UK also employs AI for crime analysis and resource allocation, aiming to improve policing efficiency and effectiveness (Oswald et al. 2018).

**China**

China is one of the most prominent users of AI in criminal justice, leveraging facial recognition and surveillance technologies on a massive scale. The country's extensive surveillance network, known as the "Sharp Eyes" project, aims to achieve near-ubiquitous public monitoring (Mozur 2018). AI is also used in China's judicial system to assist judges with case analysis and decision-making, promoting consistency and efficiency in legal proceedings (Liu 2019).

**European Union**

The European Union is taking a cautious approach to AI integration in criminal justice, emphasizing ethical considerations and human rights. While AI applications like facial recognition are used in some member states, the EU is focused on establishing robust regulatory frameworks to address privacy, bias, and accountability issues (European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights 2019). The EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) sets stringent guidelines for data use, impacting the deployment of AI technologies (Voigt and Bussche 2017).

**Comparative Analysis of AI Implementation**

Comparing AI implementation across different countries reveals varying levels of adoption, regulatory approaches, and public acceptance. The United States and China are leading in the deployment of AI technologies in criminal justice, with extensive use of predictive policing and facial recognition. However, these advancements are accompanied by significant ethical and legal concerns, particularly regarding bias and privacy (Harwell 2019; Angwin et al. 2016).

The United Kingdom and the European Union, while also utilizing AI, are more focused on addressing the ethical implications and establishing clear regulatory frameworks. The UK's trials with facial recognition have sparked debates on privacy rights, leading to legal scrutiny (Couchman 2019). The EU's emphasis on human rights and data protection sets a precedent for responsible AI use, balancing technological advancement with ethical considerations (European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights 2019).

## Chapter 3: AI in Criminal Justice in India Current State of AI in Indian Criminal Justice

The adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) in the Indian criminal justice system is in its nascent stages, with several pilot projects and initiatives aimed at exploring its potential. While the integration of AI technologies has shown promise in enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of law enforcement and judicial processes, widespread implementation is still limited (Rao and Nayak 2020).

### Applications of AI in Indian Law Enforcement Predictive Policing in India

Predictive policing in India involves the use of AI algorithms to analyze historical crime data and predict potential crime hotspots. The Delhi Police has implemented a predictive policing system called Crime Mapping, Analytics and Predictive System (CMAPS), which uses data analytics to forecast crime trends and optimize resource allocation (Singh et al. 2018). This system aims to improve patrol strategies and reduce response times to criminal activities.

### AI in Forensic Analysis

AI technologies are being utilized to enhance forensic analysis in India. AI-powered tools can assist in analyzing forensic evidence, such as fingerprints, DNA, and digital data, with greater accuracy and speed. The Central Forensic Science Laboratory (CFSL) in Delhi has started using AI for analyzing complex data sets and improving the precision of forensic reports (Sharma and Gupta 2019).

### Use of AI in Judicial Processes

The Indian judiciary is exploring the use of AI to streamline judicial processes and reduce the backlog of cases. The Supreme Court of India has initiated the SUPACE (Supreme Court Portal for Assistance in Court Efficiency) project, which aims to leverage AI to assist judges with legal research, case management, and drafting judgments (Chandrasekaran 2021). AI tools can analyze legal documents, identify relevant precedents, and provide summaries, thereby aiding judicial decision-making.

https://doi.org/10.31995/rjpsss.2024v50i01.22

**Key Initiatives and Pilot Projects**

Several key initiatives and pilot projects in India are exploring the potential of AI in criminal justice:

- **CMAPS**: As mentioned, the Delhi Police's Crime Mapping, Analytics and Predictive System is a significant step towards predictive policing (Singh et al. 2018).
- **SUPACE**: The Supreme Court's initiative to use AI to enhance judicial efficiency (Chandrasekaran 2021).
- **AI Forensic Tools**: CFSL's adoption of AI for forensic analysis represents a move towards more accurate and efficient forensic investigations (Sharma and Gupta 2019).

**Challenges and Limitations Specific to India Legal and Regulatory Issues**

The legal and regulatory framework governing the use of AI in criminal justice in India is still evolving. There is a lack of comprehensive legislation specifically addressing AI applications in law enforcement and judicial processes. Existing laws, such as the Information Technology Act, of 2000, and the Indian Penal Code, 1860, does not adequately cover the nuances of AI technology (Basu 2020). Additionally, there is a need for clear guidelines on data privacy, security, and the ethical use of AI.

**Ethical Concerns**

The use of AI in criminal justice raises several ethical concerns, including issues of bias, fairness, and accountability. AI systems can perpetuate existing biases present in the training data, leading to discriminatory outcomes (Reddy and Patel 2020). Ensuring transparency in AI algorithms and establishing mechanisms for accountability are crucial to addressing these ethical challenges.

**Technological Barriers**

Technological barriers, such as limited infrastructure, lack of technical expertise, and inadequate data quality, hinder the widespread adoption of AI in India's criminal justice system. Many law enforcement agencies and judicial bodies lack the necessary infrastructure to implement and maintain AI systems effectively (Kumar and Verma 2019). Moreover, the quality and completeness of data available for training AI algorithms are often inadequate, impacting the accuracy and reliability of AI predictions.

**Chapter 4: Legal and Ethical Considerations**

**Review of the Legal Framework Governing AI in Criminal Justice International Level**

At the international level, there are various guidelines and frameworks aimed at regulating the use of AI in criminal justice. The European Union has been proactive with its General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which sets stringent standards for data privacy and protection (Voigt and Bussche 2017). The GDPR impacts AI applications by ensuring that personal data used in AI systems is processed lawfully, transparently, and with due regard to individuals' privacy rights.

The United Nations has also issued guidelines, such as the "UN Guidelines for the Regulation of Computerized Personal Data Files," which emphasize the protection of personal data and outline principles for data security and privacy (United Nations 1990). These guidelines are crucial for AI systems that handle sensitive personal information in criminal justice.

**National Level**

In India, the legal framework governing AI in criminal justice is still evolving. The Information Technology Act, of 2000, provides the legal basis for electronic governance and digital transactions, but it does not specifically address AI technologies (Basu 2020). The Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019, which is yet to be enacted, aims to regulate the processing of personal data and ensure data privacy and protection, aligning with principles similar to the GDPR (Bhatia 2020).

**Ethical Implications Privacy Concerns**

AI systems in criminal justice often involve the collection and processing of large amounts of personal data, raising significant privacy concerns. For example, facial recognition technology used in surveillance can lead to mass data collection without individuals' consent, infringing on their privacy rights (Harwell 2019). Ensuring robust data protection measures and obtaining explicit consent for data use are crucial to addressing these concerns.

**Bias and Fairness**

AI algorithms can perpetuate and amplify existing biases present in the training data. This can result in discriminatory outcomes, particularly against marginalized communities (Barocas and Selbst 2016). For instance, predictive policing algorithms may disproportionately target minority neighborhoods, leading to over-policing and exacerbating social inequalities. Ensuring fairness in AI systems requires careful examination of training data and the implementation of bias mitigation techniques.

**Accountability and Transparency**

One of the key challenges with AI systems is ensuring accountability for decisions made by these systems. AI algorithms often operate as "black boxes,"

https://doi.org/10.31995/rjpsss.2024v50i01.22

making it difficult to understand how specific outcomes are generated (Pasquale 2015). Establishing mechanisms for accountability, such as audit trails and explainable AI, is essential to ensure that decisions made by AI systems can be scrutinized and held to account.

**Relevant Cases Illustrating Legal and Ethical Challenges COMPAS Risk Assessment Tool (United States)**

The COMPAS (Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions) tool is used in the United States to assess the recidivism risk of defendants. However, it has been criticized for exhibiting racial bias, disproportionately classifying African American defendants as high-risk compared to their white counterparts (Angwin et al. 2016). This case highlights the ethical challenges of bias and fairness in AI systems used in criminal justice.

**Real-Time Facial Recognition (United Kingdom)**

In the United Kingdom, the use of real-time facial recognition technology by the London Metropolitan Police has faced legal challenges over privacy concerns. The case of R (Bridges) v Chief Constable of South Wales Police involved a legal challenge against the use of facial recognition technology, arguing that it violated individuals' privacy rights under the European Convention on Human Rights. The Court of Appeal ruled that the use of the technology was unlawful, highlighting the need for clear legal frameworks and oversight (Couchman 2019).

**Sharp Eyes Surveillance System (China)**

China's extensive use of AI-powered surveillance through the Sharp Eyes project has raised significant ethical and legal concerns. The system aims to achieve near-ubiquitous public monitoring, leading to widespread privacy infringements and potential abuses of power (Mozur 2018). This case underscores the ethical implications of mass surveillance and the need for stringent data protection and privacy regulations.

**Chapter 5: Impact and Future Prospects**

**Impact of AI on the Efficiency and Effectiveness of Criminal Justice**

The implementation of AI in criminal justice systems has significantly impacted their efficiency and effectiveness. AI technologies have streamlined various processes, from law enforcement to judicial decision-making, resulting in quicker and more accurate outcomes. For instance, predictive policing algorithms help law enforcement agencies allocate resources more efficiently by forecasting crime hotspots (Perry et al. 2013). AI-driven forensic tools enhance the speed and accuracy of evidence analysis, reducing the time required for investigations (Sharma and

Gupta 2019). Moreover, AI-assisted judicial processes can expedite case management and decision-making, alleviating case backlogs and improving the overall efficiency of the judiciary (Chandrasekaran 2021).

**Potential Future Developments and Trends AI Advancements**

Future advancements in AI are expected to further revolutionize the criminal justice system. Enhanced machine learning algorithms and the development of more sophisticated AI models will improve the accuracy and reliability of predictive policing and forensic analysis. Natural language processing (NLP) advancements will enable AI systems to better understand and analyze legal documents, aiding lawyers and judges in research and case preparation (LeCun, Bengio, and Hinton 2015).

**Integration with Other Emerging Technologies**

The integration of AI with other emerging technologies, such as blockchain and the Internet of Things (IoT), holds significant potential for enhancing criminal justice systems. Blockchain technology can provide secure and transparent record-keeping, ensuring the integrity of evidence and legal documents (Zyskind, Nathan, and Pentland 2015). IoT devices can gather real-time data from various sources, such as surveillance cameras and sensors, which AI systems can analyze to monitor and prevent criminal activities more effectively (Atzori, Iera, and Morabito 2010).

**Recommendations for Policymakers and Practitioners Enhancing Regulatory Frameworks**

To ensure the responsible and ethical use of AI in criminal justice, it is imperative to develop robust regulatory frameworks. Policymakers should establish clear guidelines and standards for AI applications, focusing on data privacy, security, and accountability (Basu 2020). Regulations should also address the use of AI in surveillance and predictive policing to prevent misuse and protect individuals' rights (Voigt and Bussche 2017).

**Addressing Ethical Issues**

Addressing ethical issues is crucial for the fair and just implementation of AI in criminal justice. Measures should be taken to mitigate biases in AI algorithms and ensure fairness in their outcomes. This includes diversifying training data, implementing bias detection and correction techniques, and conducting regular audits of AI systems (Barocas and Selbst 2016). Transparency in AI decisions-making processes should be prioritized to build trust and ensure accountability (Pasquale 2015).

https://doi.org/10.31995/rjpsss.2024v50i01.22

**Improving Technological Infrastructure**

Improving the technological infrastructure is essential for the effective deployment of AI in criminal justice. Investments in advanced computing resources, data storage, and network capabilities are necessary to support AI applications. Training programs should be developed to equip law enforcement personnel, forensic experts, and judicial staff with the skills required to use AI tools effectively (Kumar and Verma 2019). Collaboration with technology companies and research institutions can facilitate the development and implementation of cutting-edge AI solutions.

**Conclusion**

**Summary of Key Findings**

This research paper has explored the multifaceted role of artificial intelligence (AI) in the administration of criminal justice in India and globally. Key findings indicate that AI technologies, such as predictive policing, forensic analysis, facial recognition, and judicial decision-making support systems, significantly enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of criminal justice processes. AI enables law enforcement agencies to allocate resources more strategically, improves the accuracy and speed of forensic investigations, and assists judicial bodies in managing and deciding cases. However, these advancements come with notable challenges, including privacy concerns, biases in AI algorithms, and the need for accountability and transparency in AI decision-making processes.

**Implications for Criminal Justice Systems**

The integration of AI into criminal justice systems presents both opportunities and challenges. On the one hand, AI can lead to more proactive and data-driven law enforcement, reducing crime rates and enhancing public safety. On the other hand, without robust regulatory frameworks and ethical guidelines, the use of AI can exacerbate existing biases, infringe on privacy rights, and undermine public trust in the justice system. Policymakers and practitioners must focus on developing comprehensive regulations that address these issues, ensure fairness and transparency, and promote the ethical use of AI. Additionally, investing in technological infrastructure and training for law enforcement and judicial personnel is crucial for maximizing the benefits of AI while mitigating its risks.

**Future Research Directions**

Future research should explore the long-term impacts of AI on criminal justice systems, particularly in terms of societal and ethical implications. Studies should investigate ways to enhance the transparency and explainability of AI algorithms, ensuring that decisions made by AI systems can be easily understood

and scrutinized. Research should also focus on developing methods to mitigate biases in AI, such as improving the diversity and quality of training data and implementing robust bias detection and correction techniques. Furthermore, the potential for integrating AI with other emerging technologies, such as blockchain and the Internet of Things (IoT), warrants further investigation to enhance the capabilities and security of AI applications in criminal justice. Lastly, comparative studies examining the effectiveness of AI implementations in different legal and cultural contexts can provide valuable insights for optimizing AI use globally.

**References**

1. Angwin, J., Larson, J., Mattu, S., Kirchner, L. (2016). Machine Bias. *ProPublica*. Retrieved from ProPublica.
2. Atzori, L., Iera, A., Morabito, G. (2010). The Internet of Things: A survey. *Computer Networks*. 54(15). Pg. **2787-2805.**
3. Barocas, S., Selbst, A.D. (2016). Big Data's Disparate Impact. *California Law Review*. 104. Pg. **671-732.**
4. Basu, S. (2020). Legal Framework for AI in India: Current State and Future Directions. *Indian Journal of Law and Technology*. 16(2). Pg. **125-138.**
5. Bhatia, G. (2020). Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019: A Critical Analysis. *Indian Law Review*. 4(1). Pg. **1-22.**
6. Chandrasekaran, A. (2021). SUPACE: AI in the Indian Judiciary. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Law*. 29(1). Pg. **67-85.**
7. Chen, H., Chung, W., Xu, J.J., Wang, G., Qin, Y., Chau, M. (2004). Crime data mining: A general framework and some examples. *Computer*. 37(4). Pg. **50-56.**
8. Couchman, H. (2019). Report: Policing by Machine. *Liberty Human Rights*. Retrieved from Liberty.
9. European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights. (2019). Facial recognition technology: fundamental rights considerations in the context of law enforcement. *FRA*. Retrieved from FRA.
10. Ferguson, A.G. (2017). The Rise of Big Data Policing: Surveillance, Race, and the Future of Law Enforcement. NYU Press: New York.
11. Harwell, D. (2019). The surveillance system in China that can identify 1.4 billion people. *The Washington Post*. Retrieved from Washington Post.
12. Koenig, D. (2019). Estonia's Artificially Intelligent Judge. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved from The Atlantic.

https://doi.org/10.31995/rjpsss.2024v50i01.22

13. Kumar, S., Verma, A. (2019). Technological Barriers to AI Adoption in Indian Law Enforcement. *International Journal of Law and Technology*. 17(3). Pg. **89-105.**
14. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., Hinton, G. (2015). Deep Learning. *Nature*. 521. Pg. **436-444.**
15. Liu, X. (2019). AI judges and AI lawyers in China: 2019. *Artificial Lawyer*. Retrieved from Artificial Lawyer.
16. Mozur, P. (2018). Inside China's Dystopian Dreams: A.I., Shame and Lots of Cameras. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from New York Times.
17. Pasquale, F. (2015). The Black Box Society: The Secret Algorithms That Control Money and Information. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
18. Perry, W.L., McInnis, B., Price, C.C., Smith, S.C., Hollywood, J.S. (2013). Predictive Policing: The Role of Crime Forecasting in Law Enforcement Operations. Santa Monica: RAND Corporation.
19. Phillips, P.J., et al. (2011). An Introduction to the Good Practices for the Use of Video Surveillance Systems for Security. *IEEE Security & Privacy.* 9(5). Pg. **74-77.**
20. Voigt, P., Bussche, A. (2017). The EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): A Practical Guide. Cham: Springer International Publishing.